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## Today selection

- Classics:
"Learning as an inverse problem"
- Latest releases:
"Kernel methods as a test bed for algorithm design"
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## What's learning



Learning is about inference not interpolation

## Statistical Machine Learning (ML)

- $(X, Y)$ a pair of random variables in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$.
- $L: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ a loss function.
- $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$

Problem: Solve

$$
\min _{f \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}[L(f(X), Y)]
$$

given only $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, a sample of $n$ i.i. copies of $(X, Y)$.

## ML theory around 2000-2010

- All algorithms are ERM (empirical risk minimization)

$$
\min _{f \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), y_{i}\right)
$$

[Vapnik '96]

- Emphasis on empirical process theory...

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{f \in \mathcal{H}}\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L\left(f\left(X_{i}\right), Y_{i}\right)-\mathbb{E}[L(f(X), Y)]\right|>\epsilon\right)
$$

[Vapnik, Chervonenkis,'71 Dudley, Giné, Zinn '94]

- ...and complexity measures, e.g. Gaussian/Rademacher complexities

$$
C(\mathcal{H})=\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

## Around the same time

Cucker and Smale, On the mathematical foundations of learning theory, AMS


- Caponnetto, De Vito and R. Verri, Learning as an Inverse Problem, JMLR
- Smale, Zhou, Shannon sampling and function reconstruction from point values, Bull. AMS
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## Inverse Problems (IP)

- $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ bounded linear operator, between Hilbert spaces
- $g \in \mathcal{G}$

Problem: Find $f$ solving

$$
A f=g
$$

assuming $A$ and $g_{\delta}$ are given, with $\left\|g-g_{\delta}\right\| \leq \delta$

## III-posedeness

- Existence: $g \notin \operatorname{Range}(A)$
- Uniqueness: $\operatorname{Ker}(A) \neq \emptyset$
- Stability: $\left\|A^{\dagger}\right\|=\infty$ (large is also a mess)


$$
\mathcal{O}=\underset{\mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\|A f-g\|^{2}, \quad \quad f^{\dagger}=A^{\dagger} g=\min _{\mathcal{O}}\|f\|
$$

## Is machine learning an inverse problem?

- $(X, Y)$
- $L: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$
- $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$

Solve

$$
\min _{f \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}[L(f(X), Y)]
$$

- $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$
- $g \in \mathcal{G}$

Find $f$ solving

$$
A f=g
$$

given $A$ and $g_{\delta}$ with $\left\|g-g_{\delta}\right\| \leq \delta$

Actually yes, under some assumptions.

## Key assumptions: least squares and RKHS

## Assumption

$$
L(f(x), y)=(f(x)-y)^{2}
$$

## Assumption

- $(\mathcal{H},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is a Hilbert space (real, separable)
- continuous evaluation functionals, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, let $e_{x}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $e_{x}(f)=f(x)$, then

$$
\left|e_{x}(f)-e_{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right| \lesssim\left\|f-f^{\prime}\right\|
$$

## Key assumptions: least squares and RKHS

## Assumption

$$
L(f(x), y)=(f(x)-y)^{2}
$$

## Assumption

- $(\mathcal{H},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is a Hilbert space (real, separable)
- continuous evaluation functionals, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, let $e_{x}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $e_{x}(f)=f(x)$, then

$$
\left|e_{x}(f)-e_{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right| \lesssim\left\|f-f^{\prime}\right\|
$$

Implications

- $\|f\|_{\infty} \lesssim\|f\|$
- $\exists k_{x} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
f(x)=\left\langle f, k_{x}\right\rangle
$$

## Interpolation and sampling operator

[Bertero, De mol, Pike '85,'88]

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\langle f, k_{x_{i}}\right\rangle=y_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \\
\Downarrow \\
S_{n} f=\mathbf{y}
\end{gathered}
$$

Sampling operator: $S_{n}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\left(S_{n} f\right)^{i}=\left\langle f, k_{x_{i}}\right\rangle, \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, n
$$



## Learning and restriction operator

[Caponnetto, De Vito, R. '05]

$f_{\rho}(x)=\int d \rho(x, y) y \rho$-almost surely.
$L^{2}(\mathcal{X}, \rho)=\left\{\left.f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}\left|\|f\|_{\rho}^{2}=\int d \rho\right| f(x)\right|^{2}<\infty\right\}$

Restriction operator: $S_{\rho}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathcal{X}, \rho)$, $\left(S_{\rho} f\right)(x)=\left\langle f, k_{x}\right\rangle, \quad \rho$-almost surely.


## Learning as an inverse problem

Inverse problem
Find f solving

$$
S_{\rho} f=f_{\rho}
$$

given $S_{n}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{n}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$.

## Learning as an inverse problem

Inverse problem
Find f solving

$$
S_{\rho} f=f_{\rho}
$$

given $S_{n}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{n}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$.

Least squares

$$
\min _{\mathcal{H}}\left\|S_{\rho} f-f_{\rho}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}, \quad \quad\left\|S_{\rho} f-f_{\rho}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}=\mathbb{E}(f(X)-Y)^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left(f_{\rho}(X)-Y\right)^{2}
$$

## Let's see what we got

- Noise model
- Integral operators \& covariance operators
- Kernels


## Noise model

| Ideal |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
| $S_{\rho} f=f_{\rho}$ |
| $S_{\rho}^{*} S_{\rho} f=S_{\rho}^{*} f_{\rho}$ |

Noise model

$$
\left\|S_{n}^{*} \mathbf{y}-S_{\rho}^{*} f_{\rho}\right\| \leq \delta_{1}
$$

$$
\left\|S_{\rho}^{*} S_{\rho}-S_{n}^{*} S_{n}\right\| \leq \delta_{2}
$$

## Integral and covariance operators operators

- Extension operator $S_{\rho}^{*}: L^{2}(\mathcal{X}, \rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$

$$
S_{\rho}^{*} f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int d \rho(x) k\left(x^{\prime}, x\right) f(x)
$$

where $k\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle k_{x}, k_{x}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is pos.def.

- Covariance operator $S_{\rho}^{*} S_{\rho}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$

$$
S_{\rho}^{*} S_{\rho}=\int d \rho(x) k_{x} \otimes k_{x^{\prime}}
$$

## Kernels

Choosing a RKHS implies choosing a representation.

## Theorem (Moore-Aronzaijn)

Let $k: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, pos.def., then the completion of

$$
\left\{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}} \mid f=\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{i} k_{x_{i}}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{N} \in \mathbb{R}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \in \mathcal{X}, N \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

w.r.t. $\left\langle k_{x}, k_{x}^{\prime}\right\rangle=k\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is a RKHS.

## Kernels

If $K\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\top} x^{\prime}$, then,

- $S_{n}$ is the $n$ by $D$ data matrix ( $S_{\rho}$ infinite data matrix)
- $S_{n}^{*} S_{n}$ and $S_{\rho}^{*} S_{\rho}$ are the empirical and true covariance operators


## Kernels

If $K\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\top} x^{\prime}$, then,

- $S_{n}$ is the $n$ by $D$ data matrix ( $S_{\rho}$ infinite data matrix)
- $S_{n}^{*} S_{n}$ and $S_{\rho}^{*} S_{\rho}$ are the empirical and true covariance operators

Other kernels:

- $K\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(1+x^{\top} x^{\prime}\right)^{p}$
- $K\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=e^{-\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \gamma}$
- $K\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=e^{-\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\| \gamma}$


## What now?

## Steal
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Tikhonov aka ridge regression

$$
f_{n}^{\lambda}=\left(S_{n}^{*} S_{n}+\lambda n I\right)^{-1} S_{n}^{*} y
$$

Tikhonov aka ridge regression

$$
f_{n}^{\lambda}=\left(S_{n}^{*} S_{n}+\lambda n I\right)^{-1} S_{n}^{*} \mathbf{y}=S_{n}^{*}(\underbrace{S_{n} S_{n}^{*}}_{K_{n}}+\lambda n I)^{-1} \mathbf{y}
$$



## Statistics

Theorem (Caponnetto De Vito '05)
Assume $K(X, X),|Y| \leq 1$ a.s. and $f^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Range}\left(S_{\rho} S_{\rho}^{*}\right)^{r}, 1 / 2<r<1$. If $\lambda_{n}=n^{-\frac{1}{2 r+1}}$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|S f_{n}^{\lambda_{n}}-f^{\dagger}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}\right] \lesssim n^{-\frac{2 r}{2 r+1}}
$$

## Statistics

Theorem (Caponnetto De Vito '05)
Assume $K(X, X),|Y| \leq 1$ a.s. and $f^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Range}\left(S_{\rho} S_{\rho}^{*}\right)^{r}, 1 / 2<r<1$. If $\lambda_{n}=n^{-\frac{1}{2 r+1}}$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|S f_{n}^{\lambda_{n}}-f^{\dagger}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}\right] \lesssim n^{-\frac{2 r}{2 r+1}}
$$

Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall \lambda>0, \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|S f_{n}^{\lambda}-f_{\rho}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}\right] \\
& \mathbb{E}\left[\delta_{1}\right], \mathbb{E}\left[\delta_{2}\right] \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}\right)+\lambda^{2 r} \\
& \sqrt{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Iterative regularization

From the Neumann series. . .

$$
f_{n}^{t}=\gamma \sum_{j=0}^{t-1}\left(I-\gamma S_{n}^{*} S_{n}\right)^{j} S_{n}^{*} \mathbf{y}
$$

## Iterative regularization

From the Neumann series. . .

$$
f_{n}^{t}=\gamma \sum_{j=0}^{t-1}\left(I-\gamma S_{n}^{*} S_{n}\right)^{j} S_{n}^{*} \mathbf{y}=\gamma S_{n}^{*} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1}(I-\gamma \underbrace{S_{n} S_{n}^{*}}_{K_{n}})^{j} \mathbf{y}
$$

## Iterative regularization

From the Neumann series...

$$
f_{n}^{t}=\gamma \sum_{j=0}^{t-1}\left(I-\gamma S_{n}^{*} S_{n}\right)^{j} S_{n}^{*} \mathbf{y}=\gamma S_{n}^{*} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1}(I-\gamma \underbrace{S_{n} S_{n}^{*}}_{K_{n}})^{j} \mathbf{y}
$$

... to gradient descent

$$
f_{n}^{t}=f_{n}^{t-1}-\gamma S_{n}^{*}\left(S_{n} f_{n}^{t-1}-\mathbf{y}\right) \quad c_{n}^{t}=c_{n}^{t-1}-\gamma\left(K_{n} c_{n}^{t-1}-\mathbf{y}\right)
$$



## Iterative regularization statistics

Theorem (Bauer, Pereverzev, R. '07)
Assume $K(X, X),|Y| \leq 1$ a.s. and $f^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Range}\left(S_{\rho} S_{\rho}^{*}\right)^{r}, 1 / 2<r<\infty$. If $t_{n}=n^{\frac{1}{2 r+1}}$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|S f_{n}^{t_{n}}-f^{\dagger}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}\right] \lesssim n^{-\frac{2 r}{2 r+1}}
$$

## Iterative regularization statistics

Theorem (Bauer, Pereverzev, R. '07)
Assume $K(X, X),|Y| \leq 1$ a.s. and $f^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Range}\left(S_{\rho} S_{\rho}^{*}\right)^{r}, 1 / 2<r<\infty$. If $t_{n}=n^{\frac{1}{2 r+1}}$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|S f_{n}^{t_{n}}-f^{\dagger}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}\right] \lesssim n^{-\frac{2 r}{2 r+1}}
$$

Proof

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall \lambda>0, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|S f_{n}^{t}-f_{\rho}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}\right] \lesssim t\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{t^{2 r}} \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\delta_{1}\right], \mathbb{E}\left[\delta_{2}\right] \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Tikhonov vs iterative regularization

- Same statistical properties...
- ... but time complexities are different $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ vs $O\left(n^{2} n^{\frac{1}{2 r+1}}\right)$,
- Iterative regularization provides a bridge between statistics and computations.
- Kernel methods become a test bed for algorithmic solutions.


## Computational regularization

Tikhonov

$$
\text { time } O\left(n^{3}\right)+\text { space } O\left(n^{2}\right) \text { for } 1 / \sqrt{n} \text { learning bound }
$$

## Computational regularization

Tikhonov

$$
\text { time } O\left(n^{3}\right)+\text { space } O\left(n^{2}\right) \text { for } 1 / \sqrt{n} \text { learning bound }
$$

Iterative regularization

$$
\text { time } O\left(n^{2} \sqrt{n}\right)+\text { space } O\left(n^{2}\right) \text { for } 1 / \sqrt{n} \text { learning bound }
$$
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## Steal from optimization

## Acceleration

- Conjugate gradient
[Blanchard, Kramer '96]
- Chebyshev method
[Bauer, Pervezev. R. '07]
- Nesterov acceleration (Nesterov, '83)
[Salzo, R. '18]
Stochastic gradient
- Single pass stochastic gradient
[Tarres, Yao, '05, Pontil, Ying, '09, Bach, Dieuleveut, Flammarion, '17]
- Multi-pass incremental gradient
[Villa, R. '15]
- Multi-pass stochastic gradient with mini-batches.


## Computational regularization

Iterative regularization

$$
\text { time } O\left(n^{2} \sqrt{n}\right)+\text { space } O\left(n^{2}\right) \text { for } 1 / \sqrt{n} \text { learning bound }
$$

Stochastic iterative regularization

$$
\text { time } O\left(n^{2}\right)+\text { space } O\left(n^{2}\right) \text { for } 1 / \sqrt{n} \text { learning bound }
$$

Can we do better? How about memory?

## Regularization with projection and preconditioning

[Halko, Martinsson, Tropp '09]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(K_{n M}^{\top} K_{n M}+\lambda n K_{M M}\right) c=K_{n M}^{\top} \mathbf{y} \\
& B B^{\top}=\left(\frac{n}{M} K_{M M}^{2}+\lambda n K_{M M}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$



FALKON [Rudi, Carratino, R. '17], see also [Ma, Belkin '17]

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{t}=B \beta_{t} \\
\beta_{t}=\beta_{t-1}-\frac{\gamma}{n} B^{\top}\left[K_{n M}^{\top}\left(K_{n M} B \beta_{t-1}-\mathbf{y}\right)+\lambda n K_{M M} B \beta_{t-1}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Falkon statistics

Theorem (Rudi, Carratino, R. '17)
Assume $K(X, X),|Y| \leq 1$ a.s. and $f^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Range}\left(S_{\rho} S_{\rho}^{*}\right)^{r}, 1 / 2<r<\infty$. If

$$
\lambda_{n}=n^{-\frac{1}{2 r+1}}, \quad M_{n}=n^{\frac{1}{2 r+1}}, \quad t_{n}=\log n
$$

then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|S f_{n}^{\lambda_{n}, t_{n}, M_{n}}-f^{\dagger}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}\right] \lesssim n^{-\frac{2 r}{2 r+1}}
$$

## Computational regularization

time $O\left(n^{2}\right)+$ space $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ for $1 / \sqrt{n}$ learning bound

time $\tilde{O}(n \sqrt{n})+$ space $O(n \sqrt{n})$ for $1 / \sqrt{n}$ learning bound

Some results

|  | MillionSongs |  |  | YELP |  | TIMIT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MSE | Relative error | Time(s) | RMSE | Time (m) | c-err | Time ( $h$ ) |
| FALKON | 80.30 | $4.51 \times 10^{-3}$ | 55 | 0.833 | 20 | 32.3\% | 1.5 |
| Prec. KRR | - | $4.58 \times 10^{-3}$ | $289{ }^{\dagger}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Hierarchical | - | $4.56 \times 10^{-3}$ | 293* | - | - | - | - |
| D\&C | 80.35 | - | 737* | - | - | - | - |
| Rand. Feat. | 80.93 | - | 772* | - | - | - | - |
| Nyström | 80.38 | ${ }^{-}$ | 876* | - | - | - | - |
| ADMM R. F. | - | $5.01 \times 10^{-3}$ | $958{ }^{\dagger}$ | - | - | - | - |
| BCD R. F. | - | - | - | 0.949 | $42^{\ddagger}$ | 34.0\% | $1.7^{\ddagger}$ |
| BCD Nyström | - | - | - | 0.861 | $60^{\ddagger}$ | 33.7\% | $1.7{ }^{\ddagger}$ |
| KRR | - | $4.55 \times 10^{-3}$ | - | 0.854 | $500^{\ddagger}$ | 33.5\% | $8.3{ }^{\ddagger}$ |
| EigenPro | - | - | - | - | - | 32.6\% | $3.9{ }^{2}$ |
| Deep NN | - | - | - | - | - | 32.4\% | - |
| Sparse Kernels | - | - | - | - | - | 30.9\% | - |
| Ensemble | - | - | - | - | - | 33.5\% | - |

## Conclusions

## Contribution

- Learning as an inverse problems
- Computational regularization: statistics meets numerics

Future work

- Scaling things up...
- Regularization with projections (quadrature, Galerkin methods)
- Connection to PDE/integral equations: exploit more structure
- Structured prediction/deep learning
- Semisupervised/unsupervised learning
- Embedding and compressed learning

