Nonparametric regression using deep neural networks with ReLU activation function

Johannes Schmidt-Hieber

February 2018 Caltech

- Many impressive results in applications
- Lack of theoretical understanding

Algebraic definition of a deep net

Network architecture (L, \mathbf{p}) consists of

- ► a positive integer *L* called the *number of hidden layers/depth*
- width vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, \dots, p_{L+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{L+2}$.

Neural network with network architecture (L, \mathbf{p})

$$f: \mathbb{R}^{p_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{p_{L+1}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{x}) = W_{L+1} \sigma_{\mathbf{v}_L} W_L \sigma_{\mathbf{v}_{L-1}} \cdots W_2 \sigma_{\mathbf{v}_1} W_1 \mathbf{x},$$

Network parameters:

- W_i is a $p_i \times p_{i-1}$ matrix
- $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p_i}$

Activation function:

• We study the ReLU activation function $\sigma(x) = \max(x, 0)$.

Equivalence to graphical representation



Figure: Representation as a direct graph of a network with two hidden layers L = 2 and width vector $\mathbf{p} = (4, 3, 3, 2)$.

Characteristics of modern deep network architectures

- Networks are deep
 - ▶ version of ResNet with 152 hidden layers
 - networks become deeper
- ► Number of network parameters is larger than sample size
 - AlexNet uses 60 million parameters for 1.2 million training samples

- There is some sort of sparsity on the parameters
- ReLU activation function $(\sigma(x) = \max(x, 0))$

The large parameter trick

If we allow the network parameters to be arbitrarily large, then we can approximate the indicator function via

$$x \mapsto \sigma(ax) - \sigma(ax - 1)$$



- it is common in approximation theory to use networks with network parameters tending to infinity
- In our analysis, we restrict all network parameters in absolute value by one

Statistical analysis

- we want to study the statistical performance of a deep network
- \blacktriangleright \rightsquigarrow do nonparametric regression
- we observe *n* i.i.d. copies $(\mathbf{X}_1, Y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{X}_n, Y_n),$

$$Y_i = f(\mathbf{X}_i) + \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- ► $\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ Y_i \in \mathbb{R},$
- goal is to reconstruct the function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$
- has been studied extensively (kernel smoothing, wavelets, splines, ...)

The estimator

- denote by $\mathcal{F}(L,\mathbf{p},s)$ the class of all networks with
 - ► architecture (*L*, **p**)
 - ▶ number of active (e.g. non-zero) parameters is s
- ► choose network architecture (*L*, **p**) and sparsity *s*
- least-squares estimator

$$\widehat{f}_n \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}(L,\mathbf{p},s)} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - f(\mathbf{X}_i))^2.$$

- ► this is the global minimizer [not computable]
- prediction error

$$R(\widehat{f}_n, f) := E_f \big[\big(\widehat{f}_n(\mathbf{X}) - f(\mathbf{X}) \big)^2 \big],$$

with $\boldsymbol{X} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \boldsymbol{X}_1$ being independent of the sample

• study the dependence of n on $R(\hat{f}_n, f)$

8 / 20

(a)

Function class

- \blacktriangleright classical idea: assume that regression function is $\beta\text{-smooth}$
- optimal nonparametric estimation rate is $n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d)}$
- suffers from curse of dimensionality
- ► to understand deep learning this setting is therefore useless
- \rightsquigarrow make a good structural assumption on f

Hierarchical structure

strokes \rightarrow letters \rightarrow words \rightarrow sentences \swarrow N IN I AM IN LA.

- Important: Only few objects are combined on deeper abstraction level
 - ► few letters in one word
 - few word in one sentence

Function class

We assume that

$$f = g_q \circ \ldots \circ g_0$$

with

- $g_i : \mathbb{R}^{d_i} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{i+1}}$.
- each of the d_{i+1} components of g_i is β_i-smooth and depends only on t_i variables
- t_i can be much smaller than d_i
- we show that the rate depends on the pairs

$$(t_i,\beta_i), \quad i=0,\ldots,q.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Example

Example: Additive models

► In an additive model

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i)$$

• This can be written as $f = g_1 \circ g_0$ with

$$g_0(\mathbf{x}) = (f_i(x_i))_{i=1,...,d}, \quad g_2(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^d y_i.$$

Hence, $t_0 = 1, d_1 = t_2 = d$.

- Decomposes additive functions in
 - one function that can be non-smooth but every component is one-dimensional
 - one function that has high-dimensional input but the function is smooth

The effective smoothness

For nonparametric regression,

$$f = g_q \circ \ldots \circ g_0$$

Effective smoothness:

$$eta_i^* := eta_i \prod_{\ell=i+1}^q (eta_\ell \wedge 1).$$

 β_i^* is the smoothness induced on f by g_i

Main result

Theorem: If

(i) depth
$$\asymp \log n$$

(ii) width $\asymp n^{C}$, with $C \ge 1$
(iii) network sparsity $\asymp \max_{i=0,...,q} n^{\frac{t_i}{2\beta_i^* + t_i}} \log n$
Then,

$$R(\widehat{f},f) \lesssim \max_{i=0,\ldots,q} n^{-\frac{2\beta_i^*}{2\beta_i^*+t_i}} \log^2 n.$$

Remarks on the rate

Rate:

$$R(\widehat{f},f)\lesssim \max_{i=0,\ldots,q}n^{-rac{2eta_i^*}{2eta_i^*+t_i}}\log^2 n.$$

Remarks:

- ► t_i can be seen as an effective dimension
- ▶ strong heuristic that this is the **optimal rate** (up to log² n)
- other methods such as wavelets likely do not achieve these rates

Consequences

- the assumption that depth $\asymp \log n$ appears naturally
- ▶ in particular the depth scales with the sample size
- the networks can have much more parameters than the sample size
- ► important for statistical performance is not the size but the amount of regularization
 - here the number of active parameters

Consequences (ctd.)

paradox:

- good rate for all smoothness indices
- existing piecewise linear methods only give good rates up to smoothness two
- Here the non-linearity of the function class helps

 \rightsquigarrow non-linearity is essential!!!

On the proof

Oracle inequality (roughly)

$$R(\widehat{f},f) \lesssim \inf_{f^* \in \mathcal{F}(L,\mathbf{p},s,F)} \left\| f^* - f \right\|_{\infty}^2 + \frac{s \log n}{n}$$

- shows the trade-off between approximation and the number of active parameters s
- Approximation theory:
 - builds on work by Telgarsky (2016), Liang and Srikant (2016), Yarotski (2017)
 - network parameters bounded by one
 - explicit bounds on network architecture and sparsity

Additive models (ctd.)

Consider again the additive model

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i)$$

- suppose that each function f_i is β -smooth
- ▶ the theorem gives the rate

$$R(\widehat{f},f) \lesssim n^{-rac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} \log^2 n.$$

▶ this rate is known to be optimal up to the log² *n*-factor

The function class considered here contains other structural constraints as a special case such a generalized additive models and it can be shown that the rates are optimal up to the $\log^2 n$ -factor

Extensions

Some extensions are useful. To name a few

- high-dimensional input
- include stochastic gradient descent
- classification
- ► CNNs, recurrent neural networks, ...